

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2004

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/051,970		01/18/2002	Bruce A. Gnade	4380.000300/KDG	1567
23720	7590	06/11/2004		EXAMINER	
	•	GAN & AMERS	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1753	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ê		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Assistant Communication	10/051,970	GNADE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kishor Mayekar	1753				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (					
3) 🛛 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4/2002.	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:					

Art Unit: 1753

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 29, 41, 44, 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by CHALAMALA et al. ("Interaction of H<sub>2</sub>O with Active Spindt-Type molybdenum field Emitter arrays", J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B vol. 17:303-305, 1999), a reference cited by Applicant. CHAMALA's article (known as reference 1) discloses in paragraph crossing left and right columns in page 304 and the second paragraph of the right column in page 304 a study comprises all the steps as claimed.

Art Unit: 1753

3. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-21, 24, 26, 29, 41, 44, 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by CHALAMALA et al. ("Effect of  $O_2$  on the Electron Emission Characteristics of Active Molybdenum Field Emission Cathode Arrays", J. Vac. Sci. Technol.B vol. 16:2859-2865, 1998), another reference cited by Applicant. See the abstract, Discussion and Conclusion in CHAMALA's article (as reference 2).

1

4. Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 30-40, 42, 43, 45, 47, 48 and 51-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either reference 1 or reference 2 in view of Applicant's admission. The difference between either the reference 1 or 2 is the process parameter and cathode-to-gate distance. However, Applicant admits in page 7 of the specification that recent advances in field emission arrays the above limitations. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified either of the references' teachings as admitted by applicant because the selection of any of known equivalent field emitter arrays with the recited limitations would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,970

Art Unit: 1753

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Page 4

the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753